Arylethynyltrifluoroborate Dienophiles for on Demand Activation of IEDDA Reactions
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ABSTRACT: Strained alkenes and alkynes are the predominant dienophiles used in inverse electron demand Diels−Alder (IEDDA) reactions. However, their instability, cross-reactivity, and accessibility are problematic. Unstrained dienophiles, although physiologically stable and synthetically accessible, react with tetrazines significantly slower relative to strained variants. Here we report the development of potassium arylethynyltrifluoroborates as unstrained dienophiles for fast, chemically triggered IEDDA reactions. By varying the substituents on the tetrazine (e.g., pyridyl- to benzyl-substituents), cycloaddition kinetics can vary from fast ($k_c = 21 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$) to no reaction with an alkyne-BF$_3$ dienophile. The reported system was applied to protein labeling both in the test tube and fixed cells and even enabled mutually orthogonal labeling of two distinct proteins.

INTRODUCTION

Electron-deficient tetrazines were first reported to react with unsaturated compounds in 1959 by Carboni and Lindsey, but it was not until 2008 that Fox and co-workers re-engineered the inverse electron demand Diels−Alder (IEDDA) reaction between tetrazines and trans-cyclooctenes (TCO) for bio-orthogonal applications (Figure 1). Since then, efforts have focused on the development of dienophiles with superior reactivity. Strained dienophiles are the predominant reactive handles for IEDDA reactions because of their exceptionally fast kinetics (up to $3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; Figure 1a). However, their instability, bulkiness, potential cross-reactivity with biological nucleophiles, and complex synthesis restrict their application. Conversely, smaller, unstrained alkenes are synthetically accessible and highly stable under biological conditions but react significantly slower with tetrazines ($k_c \approx 10^{-3}−10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$; Figure 1b). The recently reported vinylboronic acid dienophile, unlike the above-mentioned unstrained alkenes, has satisfactory kinetics ($k_c = 27 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$), is easily synthesized, and is relatively stable (Figure 1c). Development of new unstrained dienophiles remains of interest, particularly if they offer the possibility of orthogonal, consecutive IEDDA reactions. Furthermore, the use of chemical triggers for temporal controllable initiation of the reaction remains elusive. Herein we describe the use of potassium arylethynyltrifluoroborates as stable, unstrained dienophiles for selective and fast IEDDA reactions (Figure 1d). The reaction between bioorthogonal arylethynyltrifluoroborate and dipyridyl tetrazine can be triggered in a temporal-controlled manner by chemical additives. Notably, the alkyne-BF$_3$ handle only reacts with pyridyl-substituted tetrazines and not with non-N-heterocyclic tetrazines. The utility of the reported reaction is demonstrated for protein modification and mutually orthogonal labeling of two proteins that contain either the alkyne-BF$_3$ handle or a norbornene moiety with a pyridyl- and benzyl-tetrazine, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To begin, we focused on engineering the reaction of arylethynyltrifluoroborate with tetrazines in aqueous conditions.
The reaction was performed in a mixture of 40% MeOH in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) pH 7.4 (v/v) with potassium phenylethynyltrifluoroborate (HBF₃) and dipyridyl tetrazine (dPy-Tz) as model substrates. Reaction progression was monitored by decay of absorption of dPy-Tz at 530 nm. dPy-Tz remained stable for 90 min at 30 °C and addition of HBF₃ did not lead to dPy-Tz consumption, which suggests a lack of reactivity between potassium arylethynyltrifluoroborate and tetrazine in the absence of chemical triggers. We therefore focused on screening a range of water-compatible additives (KCl, MgCl₂, InCl₃, ZnCl₂, and AlCl₃·6H₂O) that might trigger the reaction because TMSCl and BF₃·OEt₂ were not compatible with aqueous conditions (unstable). Addition of KCl and MgCl₂ did not trigger the reaction. Addition of InCl₃ or ZnCl₂ led to decay of tetrazine absorbance both in the presence and absence of HBF₃, possibly as a result of coordination of the metal to the tetrazine derivative. Surprisingly, when AlCl₃·6H₂O was tested, very fast consumption of dPy-Tz was observed (Figure 2d). With AlCl₃·6H₂O the reaction is complete in less than 10 min. Importantly, control experiments either in the absence of AlCl₃·6H₂O or HBF₃ showed no consumption of dPy-Tz. These data demonstrate the potential of inducing fast IEDDA reaction between arylethynyltrifluoroborate dienophiles with tetrazine triggered by AlCl₃·6H₂O under aqueous conditions.

Inspired by these results, we investigated various structural motifs that may impact the kinetics of the Al³⁺-triggered IEDDA reaction. Electronic effects are known to play a key role in the reactivity of dienophiles. Due to the poor stability of some potassium trifluoroborate species in aqueous media, only potassium arylethynyltrifluoroborate derivatives HBF₃, OBF₃, and FBF₃ were tested (Figure 3a, entries 1–3). Our screening data indicate that electron-donating OBF₃ reacted the fastest (kₑ ≈ 4.9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) followed by HBF₃ (kₑ ≈ 1.8 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) with a fixed amount of AlCl₃·6H₂O (5 equiv). The slowest rate observed was for FBF₃ as a result of π-electron withdrawal by fluorine atoms (kₑ ≈ 0.9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹). These results are in accordance with the general principles of IEDDA reactions that electron-rich dienophiles feature a smaller HOMO_dienophile - LUMO_diene energy gap and thus accelerate the reaction.
Arylethynyltrifluoroborates with different lipophilic counterions were also examined (Figure 3a, entries 4 and 5). By replacing potassium with a tetraethylammonium or tetrabutylammonium cation the reaction was slower. Overall, the data show potassium OBF$_3$ is the most suitable unstrained dienophile for IEDDA reactions with dPy-Tz.

Next, we explored the reactivity of potassium arylyethynyltrifluoroborate toward different tetrazines. Interestingly, we observed that non-pyridyl-containing tetrazines displayed exceedingly low reactivity toward OBF$_3$ (Figure 3c), whereas PyMe-Tz (Figure 3b), which contains only one appended pyridyl group, showed decreased reactivity in the presence of Al$_3^+$ ($k_2 \approx 0.2$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for PyMe-Tz versus $k_2 \approx 4.9$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for dPy-Tz under the same conditions). This reactivity trend is consistent with the boron–nitrogen-directed mechanism (responsible also for the high regioselectivity of the reaction with PyMe-Tz) previously reported for vinylboronic acid dienophiles.

We further confirmed this notion for arylyethynyltrifluoroborate through DFT calculations (Figure 3d). Once AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O is introduced into the system, it instantaneously defluorinates the alkynyltrifluoroborate to yield the corresponding difluoroalkynylborane in situ in a very thermodynamically favored way (Figure S2). The resulting difluoroalkynylborane then forms an alkynyltetrazine-adduct mediated by a strong boron–nitrogen bond. Subsequent rate-limiting [4 + 2] cycloaddition proceeds very fast due to the small energy barrier ($\Delta G^\ddagger \approx 13$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). When the same difluoroalkynylborane reacts with non-pyridyne-containing tetrazines, the energy barrier for cycloaddition significantly increases ($\Delta G^\ddagger \approx 35$ kcal mol$^{-1}$, Table S1) similarly to the very slow reaction predicted between nondefluorinated alkynyltrifluoroborates and pyridyl-tetrazines, which explains the reaction selectivity toward different tetrazines. The reaction rate is comparable to the recently reported vinylboronic acid dienophile. Thus, the reaction between optimal ethynyltrifluoroborate (OBF$_3$) and tetrazine (dPy-Tz) afforded IEDDA cycloaddition product in 96% yield (Figure 3f) after 15 min.

With these preliminary results in hand, we decided to study the kinetics of the model reaction (with dPy-Tz and HBF$_3$) in more detail. First, we measured the partial reaction order of reactants by the initial rates method. As expected, they were determined as 1.0 for dPy-Tz and 1.0 for HBF$_3$. However, this method was not suitable for calculating the partial reaction order for AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O. We analyzed this phenomenon further and found that the reaction rate is sensitive to both ionic strength and pH, which are both affected by change of concentration of AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O. Thus, the 12.5-fold increase of its concentration (from 20 mM to 250 mM) led to 2.2-fold increase of the reaction rate, which would formally provide partial reaction order of 0.3. We attribute the small relative increase of reaction rate (in comparison with the increase of the concentration) to the increase in ionic strength because addition of LiCl to the reaction solution can increase the reaction rate over 3-times (vide infra, Table 1). The concomitant decrease of pH contributes to reaction acceleration as well; the equivalent change of solution pH (from 3.58 to 2.84) increases the reaction rate 1.2-fold (Figure 4).

Due to the low solubility of reaction components in the reaction solution, we did not manage to measure both influences of AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O in isolation. Nevertheless, we concluded that the partial reaction order of AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O is 0.

The influence of solution pH is more complex (Figure 4a,b). The reaction is slow over pH 5, which can be explained in part

---

**Figure 2.** Aqueous reaction between HBF$_3$ and dPy-Tz. (a−d) Screening of additives. Reaction conditions: dPy-Tz (4 mM), HBF$_3$ (4 mM), and additives (100 mM) in MeOH/PBS (40%). Monitored at 530 nm at 30 °C. See the Supporting Information (Figure S4) for results with MgCl$_2$ and ZnCl$_2$ as additives.
by formation of insoluble hydroxide complexes $\text{Al(OH)}_n$.$^3$.

The reaction is accelerated significantly below pH 2. In
between, the reaction rate increases with decreasing pH. The
reaction acceleration in acidic conditions can be explained by
partial protonation of the pyridine moieties of $\text{dPy-Tz}$. The
pyridinium cation formed ($\text{dPyH}^+\cdot\text{TzH}^-$) is more electron
withdrawing relative to pyridine, so it lowers the energy of the
tetrazine LUMO, which in turn decreases the HOMO–
LUMO energy gap of the IEDDA reaction (the rate-limiting
step). This finding is in good agreement with our DFT
calculations that show that protonation decreases the
activation barrier of the rate-limiting step by 2.5 kcal mol$^{-1}$
thus accelerating the reaction nearly 70-fold at room
temperature (Figure 4b). However, complete protonation of

Figure 3. Kinetic and computational studies. (a) Half-life of the reaction between arylethynyltrifluoroborate salts (4 mM), $\text{dPy-Tz}$ (4 mM), and $\text{AlCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (20 mM) in a mixture of 40% MeOH/PBS followed at 530 nm at 30 °C. (b and c) Absorbance decay upon reaction of $\text{OBF}_3$ with different tetrazines. (d) Complete energy profile for the reaction of arylethynyltrifluoroborate $\text{HBF}_3$ and tetrazine $\text{dPy-Tz}$ calculated at $\text{PCM(H}_2\text{O)}/\text{M06-2X}/6-31+\text{G(d,p)}$ level. The activation (i.e., defluorination, in red), IEDDA reaction (in blue) and retro-DA leading to the final pyrazidine (in yellow) are all fast and thermodynamically very favored. Activation ($\Delta G^\ddagger$) and reaction energies ($\Delta G$) are in kcal mol$^{-1}$. (e) Comparison of reported$^{26}$ second-order rate constants. (f) Reaction between optimal ethynyltrifluoroborate $\text{OBF}_3$ (24 mM) and tetrazine $\text{dPy-Tz}$ (20 mM) triggered by $\text{AlCl}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$. 
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both pyridines (dPyH$_2$-TzH) precludes coordination to the BF$_3$ group of the reaction alkyne and increases the cycloaddition activation barrier dramatically by nearly 20 kcal mol$^{-1}$. An apparent kinetic rate constant ($k_{obs}$) was estimated from the individual theoretical constants of each reaction between HBF$_3$ and dPy-Tz in different protonation states ($k$), and the dissociation constants for each species ($K_d$; Figure 4b and eq 1 in the SI). In qualitative agreement with experimental measurements, this calculated $k_{obs}$ shows a large pH-dependency and supports the significant acceleration observed under highly acidic conditions.

We were also interested in the influence of ionic strength on the reaction rate. From all tested neutral salts (LiCl, NaCl, KCl, and CsCl) only LiCl was soluble enough in the reaction mixture. As can be seen from Table 1, 3 M LiCl speeded up the reaction 3.2-times (4.1 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ vs 13.0 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$), which is in agreement with the reported influence of LiCl on Diels–Alder reactions. The reaction rate was increased further to 21.1 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ by replacing HBF$_3$ with OB$\text{F}_3$ which is consistent with our preliminary measurements.

For comparison, we measured the kinetics between dPy-Tz and two norbornene derivatives (Figure 5): 5-norbornen-2-ol (1) and 5-norbornene-2-endo,3-exodicarboxylic acid (2). We measured their sensitivity to LiCl and AlCl$_3$-6H$_2$O under identical conditions (Table 1). Both norbornene derivatives have their highest reaction rate in the presence of both additives (LiCl and AlCl$_3$-6H$_2$O; Table 1). Each additive increases the reaction rate; however, LiCl has greater impact relative to AlCl$_3$-6H$_2$O. This might be explained by higher ionic strength of LiCl (3 M) versus AlCl$_3$-6H$_2$O (0.25 M). The addition of LiCl speeds up both click reactions; however, the reaction rate with HBF$_3$ is increased 3.2-times, whereas reaction with norbornene 2 is increased only 2.1-times. Reaction with norbornene proceeds in the absence of Al$^{3+}$, which is not the case for the ethynyltrifluoroborate based click reaction—the reaction is triggered by Al$^{3+}$.

Interestingly, although it has been reported that unsubstituted norbornene reacts faster than any mono- or disubstituted derivative and that electron-withdrawing groups further slow down the reaction, reported reaction rate of unsubstituted norbornene with dPy-Tz in methanol has the same value (0.15 M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$) as our reaction of disubstituted norbornene. This can be attributed to the presence of additives and water which accelerates Diels–Alder reactions. Nevertheless, even monosubstituted norbornene 1 with an electron-donating group (which is almost as reactive as unsubstituted norbornene) reacts with dPy-Tz approximately 9-times slower than trifluoroborate OB$\text{F}_3$. Next, we expanded the scope of the reaction and applied it to protein labeling. As proof of concept, a tetrazine (caa-dPy-Tz) or an aryldimethyltrifluoroborate (caa-OB$\text{F}_3$) moiety was installed into a linker that featured a carbonylacrylic acid (caa) handle for cysteine-selective bioconjugation (Figure 6a; see pages S43–S47 in the SI for synthetic details). Since trifluoroborates can be principally hydrolyzed, we first assessed the hydrolytic stability of caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ and its stability toward thiols (glutathione; see Chapter 4 in the SI). Less than 1% of caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ decomposed after 3 days in 40% D$_2$O/DMSO at 37 °C. Further treatment at room temperature (18 days) left 90% of caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ intact. The sample was further treated with reduced glutathione (5 equiv, 25 mM) for another 30 h at 37 °C. As expected, the caa handle reacted smoothly with the thiol group of glutathione to afford a glutathione adduct with caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ after 10 min. Nevertheless, only ~10% of the trifluoroborate moiety of caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ decomposed in the presence of the thiol after 30 h. After both stability tests, the sample was further tested for the ability to react with dPy-Tz. As expected, caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ afforded the click product in the presence of Al$^{3+}$ even after 22 days of stability tests. Thus, caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ is not only stable against hydrolysis in aqueous media but its OB$\text{F}_3$ moiety is also sufficiently stable against nucleophiles, which makes caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ suitable for installation on proteins through cysteine conjugation.

Further we tested the reaction on three model proteins: ubiquitin (Ub), the C2A domain of Synaptotagmin-I (C2Am), and anti HER2 nanobody (2RB17C). All proteins were engineered with one surface-exposed cysteine (Figure 6b). We started with the installation of the caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ dienophile on the proteins for subsequent IEDDA labeling with dPy-Tz in the presence of AlCl$_3$-6H$_2$O as a chemical trigger. Reaction of 2RB17C nanobody with caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ (5 equiv) afforded desired 2RB17C-caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ at 25 °C after 1 h. However, three other species with lower masses and an interval of 20 Da were also observed on the mass spectrum. We attributed them to potential defluorination products of BF$_3$ moiety during LC-MS analysis. The product was dialyzed and then reacted with dPy-Tz (100 equiv) in the presence of Al$^{3+}$ (100 equiv). The reaction was complete after 3 h in a pH 4.5 buffered solution at 37 °C (Figure S26). When AlCl$_3$-6H$_2$O was omitted, no reaction was observed under the same conditions after 5 h. The excess of dPy-Tz was necessary to compensate for the much lower concentration of tagged protein (15 μM) relative to the small-molecule reagents used for reaction engineering (4 mM). It is important to mention that the reaction on proteins proceeds smoothly in acidic conditions but not in neutral buffers, which is consistent with our data on small-molecule systems. Thus, IEDDA labeling of protein can be completely temporally controlled: it can be turned on by addition of AlCl$_3$-6H$_2$O trigger and off by an increase in pH to 7.0–7.4.

Further we tested the IEDDA reaction compatibility with even more acidic conditions on Ub conjugate. We prepared Ub-CAA-OB$\text{F}_3$ by reaction of Ub with caa-OB$\text{F}_3$ (Figure 6f).}

---

Table 1. Reaction Rate Constants of Click Reactions with dPy-Tz in Various Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dienophile</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>LiCl (M)</th>
<th>AlCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (mM)</th>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>$k_2$ (M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBF$_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBF$_3$</td>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBF$_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB$\text{F}_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB$\text{F}_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB$\text{F}_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB$\text{F}_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB$\text{F}_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB$\text{F}_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OB$\text{F}_3$</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$H$_2$O and PBS stand for: 59.5% H$_2$O, 36% MeOH, and 4.5% NMP and 49% PBS, 10.5% H$_2$O, 36% MeOH, and 4.5% NMP, respectively.

$^b$Estimated reaction rate of endo- and exo- isomers of 5-norbornen-2-ol mixture. NR, no reaction.
The reaction was complete at 25 °C after 2 h and afforded the product (Figure 6g) and again three other species with lower masses with interval of 20 Da (mono-, di-, and tri-dehydrofluorinated species). Upon dialysis, the product was reacted with dPy-Tz in the presence of Al\(^{3+}\). At pH 4, the reaction was complete after 30 min at 37 °C and gave a single mass peak corresponding to the desired IEDDA ligation product (Figure 6h). As expected, the reaction was slower at pH 4.7: only 60% of product was formed after 1 h at 37 °C (Figure S23). Under these conditions, boronic acid corresponding to difluoroalkynylborane hydrolysis was observed as well. The formed boronic acid did not react even with 100 equiv of dPy-Tz, which suggests that dPy-Tz reacted chemoselectively with difluoroalkynylborane. Thus, not only is the IEDDA step compatible with acidic conditions, but consistent with our kinetics measurements, it is also accelerated by lower pH. Under acidic conditions, we have observed slow product formation without Al\(^{3+}\) (Figures 7b, lane 2). Since the overall reaction cascade starts by defluorination of the BF\(_3\) moiety promoted by AlCl\(_3\)-6H\(_2\)O, we envisioned that acidic conditions could also promote this step. Our DFT calculations supported defluorination of BF\(_3\) under acidic conditions, although with a higher energy barrier.
relative to the Al\textsuperscript{3+}-mediated reaction (Figure S2, SI). This explains the slow overall reaction in acidic conditions in the absence of Al\textsuperscript{3+}. The acceleration of the reaction by acid in the presence of Al\textsuperscript{3+} can be explained by protonation of the

---

**Figure 6.** Protein site-selective modification by Al\textsuperscript{3+}-triggered IEDDA reaction. (a) Carboxyacrylic linkers with OBF\textsubscript{3} or dPy-Tz. (b) Protein scaffolds used. (c–e) Installation of caa-dPy-Tz on Ub followed by IEDDA reaction with OBF\textsubscript{3} and deconvoluted mass spectra of respective products. (f–h) Installation of caa-OBF\textsubscript{3} on Ub followed by IEDDA reaction with dPy-Tz, and deconvoluted mass spectra of respective products. See Chapter 6 in the SI for labeling of C2Am and 2RB17C. (i) Structural ensemble obtained from 0.5 μs MD simulations of the conjugate prepared by reacting Ub-caa-OBF\textsubscript{3} with dPy-Tz. The numbers indicate the rmsd for heavy-atom superimposition of the backbone of the protein relative to the starting structure. DMF = N,N-dimethylformamide, DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide.
pyridine moiety as discussed above. As a result, the overall reaction cascade is fully compatible with acidic buffers, and moreover, it proceeds faster under such conditions.

We explored the possibility of installing \textit{caa-dPy-Tz} instead of \textit{caa-OBF}_3 on the protein for subsequent IEDDA labeling, however, \textit{caa-dPy-Tz} has limited solubility in aqueous media, which makes this approach less suitable. Nevertheless, complete conversion into desired conjugate \textit{Ub-CAA-dPy-Tz} was obtained with \textit{caa-dPy-Tz} (15 equiv) at 25 °C after 3 h, as confirmed by LC-MS analysis (Figure 6c,d). We decided to

![Figure 7](image-url) (a) Structures of tetrazine fluorophores. (b) Selective labeling of Ub-\textit{CAA-OBF}_3 with two tetrazine fluorophores as analyzed by SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

![Figure 8](image-url) (a) Mutually orthogonal labeling of Lyz-Nor and Ub-\textit{CAA-BF}_3 with tetrazine fluorophores \textit{PhMe-Tz-Cy3} and \textit{dPy-Tz-BODIPY}. (b) SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis. From left to right: BODIPY channel, Cy3 channel, fluorescence overlap.
test the combined use of acidic pH and AlCl₃·6H₂O to promote a fast IEDDA reaction on protein substrates. After dialysis into acetate buffer (pH 5), Ub-caa-dPy-Tz was treated with OB₈F (100 equiv) in the presence of AlCl₃·6H₂O (100 equiv) to give complete conversion into the desired labeled protein after 90 min at 25 °C (Figure 6c,e). IEDDA protein labeling is also possible with less reactive dienophile HBF₃ (4 h at 25 °C; pH 4; Figure S16). In contrast, OB₈F did not afford any product under similar conditions (4 h at 25 °C; pH 4; data not shown), which is in accordance with our kinetic studies on small molecules. A similar result was observed with cca-dPy-Tz installed into C2Am. At pH 4, the reaction with OB₈F resulted in complete conversion into homogeneous product after 1 h at 37 °C (Figure S20). All results on proteins show that both cca-OB₈F and cca-dPy-Tz can be chemically installed on proteins. Subsequent site-selective labeling can be triggered by AlCl₃·6H₂O. The reaction is fast under acidic conditions but it does not proceed under neutral conditions, which provides an opportunity for full temporal control (on/off) of the reaction. It also offers potential to use two orthogonal IEDDA reactions under different conditions.

MD simulations of the conjugates derived from Ub suggest that the 3D structure of the protein remains unaltered by site-selective introduction of the chemical modiﬁers potential to use two orthogonal IEDDA reactions under different conditions.

Because potassium arylenyltrifluoroborates reacted with pyridyl tetrazines (dPy-Tz) yet remained unreacted in the presence of nonpyridyl tetrazines (e.g., PhMe-Tz), we explored the possibility of selective labeling of Ub-cca-OB₈F by treatment with dPy-Tz-BODIPY (Figure 7a) in the presence of PhMe-Tz-Cy3. In accordance with experiments on small molecule models, the protein was labeled only by dPy-Tz-BODIPY (Figure 7b, lanes 2 and 3 of the gel electrophoresis) but not by PhMe-Tz-Cy3 (Figure 7b, lanes 4 and 5). Of note is that the reaction with dPy-Tz-BODIPY occurs in the absence of Al³⁺, despite being signiﬁcantly slower (Figure 7b, lane 2, and S33). This effect is related to the increased reactivity of dPy-Tz at acidic pH and the alleged deﬂuorination of BF₃ under acidic conditions as conﬁrmed by DFT calculation and experimental observation (Figure S2).

This unique selectivity inspired us to design new IEDDA pairs for mutually orthogonal labeling of proteins. Recently, the different dieretic and electronic effects of cyclopropene/TCO and TCO/stretched cyclooctyne pairs was explored to develop a multicolor labeling approach by reaction with appropriate tetrazines.35–37 Similarly, we explored the observed boron–nitrogen-directed selectivity for the mutual labeling of OB₈F and norbornene (Nor)-tagged proteins by simultaneous reaction with pyridyl- and benzytric-substituted tetrazines. We envisioned that if a mixture of lysosome (Lyz)-Nor and Ub-cca-OB₈F is treated with red fluorescent PhMe-Tz-Cy3 and green fluorescent dPy-Tz-BODIPY tetrazines, Lyz-Nor would be labeled with PhMe-Tz-Cy3, whereas Ub-cca-OB₈F would be labeled with dPy-Tz-BODIPY, to result in a red fluorescent Lyz and a green fluorescent Ub, respectively (Figure 8a,b). As expected, PhMe-Tz-Cy3 reacted with strain-activated Lyz-Nor but not with Ub-cca-OB₈F (due to the absence of B–N(Py) interactions) to yield only a red ﬂuorescence band for Lyz (lanes 1 and 7; left and middle panel). Conversely, both proteins reacted with dPy-Tz-BODIPY and green ﬂuorescence bands were observed for Lyz-Nor and Ub-cca-OB₈F (lanes 2, 3, and 6; left panel). Mixing both fluorescent tetrazines (20-fold excess of less-reactive PhMe-Tz-Cy3) with the two proteins led to exclusive formation of red-ﬂuorescent Lyz (lane 5, left and middle panel) as Ub-cca-OB₈F did not react with PhMe-Tz-Cy3 (Figure 7b, lanes 4 and 5) nor with dPy-Tz-BODIPY in the absence of Al³⁺. However, mixing both fluorescent tetrazines (in equimolar ratio) with the two proteins resulted in the labeling of Lyz-Nor with both PhMe-Tz-Cy3 and dPy-Tz-BODIPY (lane 4; compare lane 4 with lanes 1 and 7 of the middle panel). Since Lyz-Nor reacted preferably with dPy-Tz-BODIPY when both tetrazines were present (lane 6, 7, 8), 20-fold excess of PhMe-Tz-Cy3 was used to achieve orthogonal labeling of Lyz-Nor (lane 5) in the presence of dPy-Tz-BODIPY. This experiment suggests that arylenyltrifluoroborate dienophiles may be used in orthogonal labeling strategies.

Next, we showed the compatibility of the reactants (dienophile and tetrazine) and reaction conditions for labeling experiments in cells. Because of the potentially damaging labeling conditions to live cells, we decided to perform the labeling on fixed SK-BR-3 cells (overexpressing HER2 receptor; Figure 9). First, the cells were incubated (80 min) with 2RB17C-cca-OB₈F (anti HER2 nanobody attached to cca-OB₈F, 600 nM). After washing (see Chapter 8 in the SI), the cells were incubated (3 h) with the reaction mixture that contained dPy-Tz-BODIPY (300 µM) and AlCl₃·6H₂O (5 mM) in acetate buffer (pH 4.5). Then the cells were washed and analyzed by epifluorescent microscopy (Figure 9). The control experiment was performed in the same way except that the nanobody was not used. Fluorescence intensity was higher when using the nanobody relative to control, showing that both proteins (the HER2 receptor and the nanobody) maintained their activity under the reaction conditions. Some degree of selectivity was detected in the control experiment which is most probably due to unspeciﬁc staining by dPy-Tz-BODIPY caused by high lipophilicity of the compound. We managed to remove partially the background ﬂuorescence by washing with 50% DMF.38 Thus, the arylenyltrifluoroborate dienophile, tetrazine, and the IEDDA conditions we developed are compatible with proteins so they can be used for immunostaining on fixed cells.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, potassium arylenyltrifluoroborates were developed as a new class of dienophiles to react with tetrazines in a fast and controllable manner. This handle is reasonably small, easy to synthesize, and biocompatible. Both tetrazine and arylenyltrifluoroborate handles were chemically in-
corporated onto proteins in a site-selective manner and labeled with complementary probes. The unique reactivity between arylenethyltrifluoroborates and pyridyl tetrazines allowed mutually orthogonal labeling by two IEDDA reactions. The combined advantages of temporal control over reactivity, stability, and orthogonality allow application of the arylethynyltri fluoroborate unstrained dienophile in mutually orthogonal protein labeling and construction of new biomaterials based simply on this AlCl₃·6H₂O triggered IEDDA ligation reaction.
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